

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY ALBERT BAGGETT,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF FRESNO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-2116 SCR P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a civil detainee proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Fresno County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of the potentially consequential screening process required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at:

DATED: August 28, 2024

2